ON THE CORRECT NAME OF 'AMANITA INAURATA SECR.'

C. BAS

Rijksherbarium, Leiden

For nomenclatural reasons the well-known species of Amanita usually called 'Amanita inaurata Secr.', unfortunately is in need of another name.

In the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (ICBN) since the Leningrad edition (Stafleu & al., 1978) Secretan's 'Mycographie suisse' (1833) is mentioned as an example of a work in which the Linnean system of binary nomenclature is not consistently employed. Consequently none of the specific names in this book are validly published, not even those with a single specific epithet like 'Amanita inaurata' (ICBN, Art. 23.6(c)).

Earlier, Donk (1962: 171) drew attention to the fact that Secretan's names with *Amanita* are invalid for still another reason; Secretan considered *Amanita* a 'class' of the genus *Agaricus* and not a genus in its own right.

The first valid use of Secretan's name 'Amanita inaurata' is that by Gillet (1874: 41). Meanwhile Berkeley & Broome (1854: 396) coined the name Agaricus ceciliae for the same species, as I have been able to confirm from consultation of the type-collection preserved at Kew. As far as I know the valid recombination of this name in Amanita has not yet taken place.

One of the reasons that the two names mentioned above were scarcely used in the former century, is that Fries (1852: 128) started rather early to use the name Agaricus strangulatus for Secretan's species. However, this name was published for the first time in the 'Epicrisis' (1838: 6) and Fries undoubtedly described then another species (stipe with ring; pileus albolividus; habit like Battara's (1755:) pl. 6, fig. A; growing on an anthill), which means that Fries in his later publications misapplied this name.

The correct name and synonymy of Secretan's species therefore are as follows:

Amanita ceciliae (B. & Br.) Bas, comb, nov.

Agaricus ceciliae B. & Br. in Annls Magaz. nat. Hist. II 13: 396 (Notic. Brit. Fungi no. 663). 1854 (basionym); ditto, Berk., Outl. Brit. Fungol.: 92, pl. 3, fig. 5. 1860. — Amanitopsis ceciliae (B. & Br.) Peck in Ann. Rep. N.Y. St. Mus. 51: 301. 1899 (not val. publ., not defin. accept.). — Amanita ceciliae (B. & Br.) Boud. in Bull. Soc. mycol. Fr. 18: 270. 1902 (not val. publ., incident. mention.).

[Agaricus] 'Amanita' inaurata Secr., Mycogr. suisse 1: 36. 1833 (not val. publ.; ICBN, Art. 23.6). — Amanita inaurata Secr. ex Gillet, Hyménomyc.: 41. — Amanitopsis inaurata (Secr. ex Gillet) Fay. in Annls Sc. nat. VII (Bot.) 9: 317. 1889. — Amanitopsis vaginata var. inaurata (Secr. ex Gillet) Sacc., Fl. ital. Cryptog. 1 (14): 62. 1915. — Amanita vaginata f. inaurata (Secr. ex Gillet) Veselý in Annls mycol. 31 (4): 280. 1933.

[Amanita] 'Amanitopsis' inaurata f. royeri L. Maire apud E. J. Gilb., Genre Amanita: 155. 1918.

— Amanita vaginata f. royeri (L. Maire apud E. J. Gilb.) Veselý in Annls mycol. 31: 280. 1933.

Misapplied.—Agaricus strangulatus sensu Fr. in Ofv. K. Vet.-Akad. Förh. 9: 128. 1852; ditto, Monogr. Hymenomyc. suec. 1: 3. 1854; ditto, Ic. sel. Hymenomyc. 1 (2/3): 11, pl. 11. 1869; etc. (non Agaricus strangulatus Fr., Epicr.: 6. 1838 (= unknown species)).

REFERENCES

BATTARA, A. J. A. (1755). Fungorum ariminensis historia. Faventiae.

BERKELEY, M. J. & BROOME, C. E. (1854). Notices of British Fungi. In Annls Magaz. nat. Hist. II 13: 396-407.

DONK, M. A. (1962). On Secretan's fungus names. In Taxon 11: 170-173.

FRIES, E. M. (1838). Epicrisis. Upsaliae.

- —— (1852). Hymenomycetes novos et rariores, anno 1851 in Suecia observatos. In Öfv. K. Vet.-Akad. Förh. 9: 127-131.
- --- (1854). Monographia (Amanita). Upsaliae.

GILLET, C. C. (1874). Les Hyménomycètes. Alençon.

SECRETAN, L. (1833). Mycographie suisse.

STAFLEU, F. A. & al. (1978). International code of botanical nomenclature (Leningrad). Utrecht.